Qualifications Criteria
Gateway Complex Project
Design Services

Criteria for Step One Screening

A total of up to 100 points may be awarded for the Statement of Qualifications, as follows:

- **Matrix A**
  - Must have at least one space filled in each column to pass minimum qualifications
  - Up to 45 points for each space filled in Matrix A
  - Up to 5 additional points for a fully filled column

- **Matrix B**
  - Up to 25 points for each space filled in Matrix B.1 (Firms)
  - Up to 25 points for each space filled in Matrix B.2 (Individuals)

- **Deductions**
  - One (1) point will be deducted for each data point missing on the qualifications statement (e.g., failure to list CV, change orders as a percent of CV), up to 50 points (e.g., one missing project will result in a deduction of 11 points)

Up to ten (10) firms with the highest point count will continue to Step Two. In order to qualify, firms must comply with the California Labor Code with regards to Prevailing Wage Requirements and the District’s Insurance Requirements.

Criteria for Step Two Interviews

Up to five points will be awarded by each interviewer for each question asked, based on

- Understanding of the question
- Directness of answer
- Ability to communicate knowledge of subject matter
- Demonstration of innovative thinking
- Other? ____________________________

Up to five (5) firms with the highest point count will continue to Step Three
Criteria for Step Three Presentations

Points will be awarded by each member of the selection panel for each of the following criteria:

- Did the presentation include all elements requested? (Five points for each item included)
  - Elevations
  - Massing diagrams
  - Floor plan
  - Site plan
  - Concept diagram

- How well does the design concept address critical key elements of the Gateway Complex vision? (Up to five points for each of the following)
  - Sustainability
  - Campus entrance
  - Arboretum
  - Integration with the neighboring community
  - Compatibility with other new buildings (i.e., Fine Arts, Science Complex)

- How well did the team demonstrate their ability to work in a participatory governance environment? (Up to 5 points)

- How does this team’s budget and schedule compare with other teams? (Five points for best combination of budget and schedule, four points for next, etc.)

- How does this team’s conceptual design appeal to panelists compared with other designs? (Ten points for favorite, eight points for next, etc. to two points for last-ranked favorite)

Total possible points: 70

The design team will be selected by consensus of the selection panel from the top two finalists.